P48

6

Pl[illegible]

File No. ~~42~~ 1

10105 ✗

Serial Nos. 67

(Municipal)

Later references

R(273-07(1-3)
and 414-16 (1-2)
Micro 9-12 81-6 B Dec 1915

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1—6

Part B. for March 1905

SUBJECT.

Adjustment of the Cost of improving the frontages of the buildings in the Pleasure Palace Road at Haydock.

P. T. O.

S. 11] 1905

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Manl.)

NOTES.

File No. 42—1901

FILL HEADING.

Adjustment of the cost of improving the frontages of the buildings in the Pleasure Palace Road at Mysore.

From The Chief Engineer of Mysore

No. 6090 Dated 9.11.03 Received 11.11.03

Diary No. 1041	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Forwards for disposal letter No 203 of the 30.10.03 from the Presd. of the Mysore city Municipal Council reporting the action taken in re the adjustment of the cost of improving the frontages.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

P. T. O

NOTES—First sheet.

MGCPB 50,000-1-20

26 In Q, P no 1118-21 / Dft 11201 dated 7th Septr 1903 it was ordered the Mysore City Improvt Bd should recover the cost of Improving the frontages of houses in the Pleasure Palace Road ~~Standard Rd~~ from the parties and adjust the advance of Rs 6468-2-6 as early as possible & that the expenditure incurred should not be treated as a loan to Munic. Applty.

memo No 6090 8.11.03

27 With reference to the above order the Chief Engineer forwards for disposal letter no 7773 & the 30.10.03 from the President reporting the action taken in the matter

The President reports as follows.

Figures reported by the Comptr and adopted in the order of 7.9.03 Rs 6668-2-6.

1 Amount advanced Rs as p. 6468-2-6

2 Deduct.

(i) Recoveries 2829-14-0

(ii) From the Palace Deptt held in deposit 706-7-3

3,885-6-6

3. Amount to be written off 3191-7-

4 Balance to be recovered 2598-10-0

28 Item no 3. Rs 349-1-7. This amount is said to be due by Alagappachary and the Jangam in charge of Khasayya house (mutt). The former died of Plague and his children and the Jangam are unable to pay the

Hence the amount is recommended by the President to be written off.
He also adds that "from a perusal of Mutchalikas obtained from both these persons, it will be evident that this amount cannot be

vide Item No 4. Rs 2598-10-. Out of this Rs 8-12-3 is shewn as due by one Mr Sinnavengada Modliar? Hence but he disputes his liability as the improvements to his property were carried out by him at his own cost. As regards the balance viz Rs 2589 13 9. the President states that "as per their Mutchalikas appended, it will be seen that they have undertaken to pay the amount at the time of selling their respective properties and they have at present no intention of selling their properties. It is to be regretted that such indefinite and unpracticable mutchalikas were taken from the parties concerned. The Vice President reports that the Mutchalikas were taken in accordance with the terms enunciated by the Sanitary Superintending Engineer."

30 The President submits the Mutchalikas taken from the [illegible]

Note. The Vice President is responsible for this.

Note. As a Revenue of some Standing Vice President ought have suggested better terms the Sup.g Engineer & Secretary

3/ Six persons plead poverty to pay the cost, two to pay only the cost walls, two to pay in four equal annual instalments, three at the time of selling their property and one agrees to execute Mu... like to pay the cost in four equal annual instalments if the cha... which he says is prohibitive, is redu...

Ins & Amounts are ... Cor... sion in ... ...

37 Submitted for orders

This shews how public money is dealt with in this Municipality. So long as there is a President, the Vice President had no business to take Mutchdick on the terms said to have been communicated by the Sanitary Dept of Engineer, without the express orders of the President. If the Vice President failed to obtain such orders, he must be held responsible for the money. Unless this is done, there is no likelihood of recovering the outstandings amounting to Rs 2598-10-0 even if the sum of Rs 349-1-7 due by Alagalapa Chetty & the Jungum be written off the accounts as recommended.

11/1/04

33 There may have been some ~~ex~~ cuse for the course pursued by the President in the fact that Nuchalka had to be obtain by negociation after the work had been finished. However if some such order as is pro- posed by the Asst Secy be passed, we may recover some more money.

34 The striking off may be sanctioned in the case recommended. The President may be asked to reconsider why the V.P. should not be held per final responsible for the loss

Draft submitted for approval

17/1/74

The Dewan through the 2nd Councillor.

35 This was a work undertaken under the Dewans order under special circumstances - Please therefore obtain his orders & do the needful. There was no use finding fault with the Vice President at this late stage and, hopefully in ignorance

Submitted to Dewan 21/1

Mr Hall 19.10.74 22/1

d Revenue Secretariat

File No. 42 of 1901

8

Serial No. 6

From the President of the Mysore City Municipal Commission No 113 dy the 24th December 1924

Submits the further explanation of Mr K. Srinivasa Rao in re. the recovery of the cost of constructing frontages in the Pleasure Palace Road at Mysore.

46 From the further explanation of the Vice President it would appear that

(i) Rs 1865.4 5 Could be recovered and adjusted,

(ii) " 655-13 11 has to be written off,

(iii) " 77-7 8 was debited to Sundry on other works and that no recovery

7598 10 " is, therefore to be made

For details please see the Statement attached (vide next page). Some of the figures which were incorrectly entered in the Vice President's Memorandum

Correction

TE—Contn sheet

MGC PB—20 000 11'01





Serial No.

47 Items 1 & 3 in the Statement could be adjusted. New Mustahalikas seem necessary as regards items 4, 5, & 6, 47.

48 The sum of Rs 655-13-11 recommended to be ~~written~~ written off may be sanctioned

49 Submitted for orders with a draft in the above sense.

HBGS. 28/3
27.2.1905

PD
7/3/05

50 Draft submitted to the Dewan Sahib thro' the 1st Councillor

2123
9-3-05.

C
5.3.1905

51 Kindly obtain Dewan orders to which I am quite agreeable.

C
10.3.1905

10/3/05

5288
11.3.05

52 Draft approved by the Dewan on 22.3.1905.

53 Issue.

(Sd) BKV
24.3

To the Treasurer of the Imperial Council, the Accountant, Imperial Dept, the Comptroller,

Note.—Contn sheet

Serial No.

the Ch Engr of Mysore, the Chairman of the Board of Trustees for the Drugs of the City of Mysore No 8939-43 & the 28-3-05.

Orders that the Dept Should take steps for the adjustment of the sum of Rs 1417-15-3 due by Channendrachary, that Rs 139-6-2 due by Mr Chikka Deo be adjusted when his property is acquired by the Trust Board, ~~Rs~~ that Rs 655-13-11 be written off the accounts that the balance of Rs 307-15-~~0~~ due by four individuals be recovered and adjusted & that Mr K Sreenivasa Rao Should obtain new Muchalikas in clear terms from the persons concerned for the payment of the above said sums of ~~Rs~~ 307-15-~~0~~ due by them

MGCPB 20,000 1-11-01





(2)

(24)

223

Rl 76 01
Se 17

THE CITY MUNICIPAL OFFICE,
MYSORE.

Dated 30 October 1903

From

M. Kantaraj Urs Esqr
B A
PRESIDENT, CITY MUNICIPAL COMMISSION,
MYSORE.

To

W. Mc. Hutchin Esqr
SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
P W ~~REV. & GEN.~~ DEPTS.

Sir,

With reference to your no 3758 dated 16 July 1903 in regard to the recovery of the expenditure incurred in Constructing frontages in the Pleasure Palace road at Mysore, I have the honor to state that out of the amount of Rs 6,484-0-10 the expenditure incurred for constructing frontages to Government properties in this road, amounts to Rs 2829 14 0 which may kindly be ordered to be adjusted Rs 706-7-3 due from the Palace Department

was-

was recovered on 28th July 1903

held in Deposit.

Mr. Dinnungada Mudaliar agreed to build the frontage to his pro-perty according to the plan sanctioned by the Sanitary Superintending Engineer and he kept up to his agreement and no mutchalika was therefore taken from him Mr. Dinnungada Mudaliar wants details for the amount specified against his name, viz. Rs 8-12 3

In the case of Nagappachary and Kasiah's house, the amount to be re-covered amounts to Rs 349-1-7. This amount has also to be written off as Nagappa-chary has died of Plague and his Children are too poor to pay the cost of frontage. Kasiah's house is a mutt and the gangam in charge is unable to bear the cost of the frontage to the mutt. From perusal of mutchalikas obtained from both these persons, it will be evident that this amount cannot be secured.

amount to be recovered from re-
-maining persons, amounts to Rs 2589-13-9
and as per their muchalikas appended
it will be seen that they have undertaken
to pay the amount at the time of selling
their respective properties and they
have at present no intention of selling
their properties It is to be regretted that
such indefinite and impracticable
muchalikas were taken from the parties
concerned. The Vice President reports
that the muchalikas were taken in ac-
-cordance with the terms enun
by the Sanitary Superintending Surgeon.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient humble
servant

MYSORE GOVT. SECRETARIAT
11-11-03
2041
REVENUE SECRETARIAT

2041

1903.

From

M. Kantaraj Urs Esqr
B.A.
PRESIDENT, CITY MUNICIPAL,
COMMISSION,
MYSORE.

SECRETARY'S OFFICE
Regd. 5516
5 NOV 1903
Mysore H.

To

W. McHutchison Esqr.
SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE.
P. W. ~~REV. & GEN.~~ DEPTS.

Dated 30th Oct. 03

Despatched } 3rd Nov 1903
Received }

General No. 223
No.

Enclosure No. on

Submitting a report regarding the recovery of the cost incurred for constructing footpaths on Palace Road.

MGCPB—2,000—6-7-02

No [illegible] dated 9-11-1903

Forwarded to H. V. Ramundayya Esqre Secretary to Government of H. H. the Maharaja in response with reference to his endorsement No. 1116-21 dated 7th September 1903 & F 42-0 The Power of Disposal

W. Traill
Captain
Engr. & Secy. Engineering
Mysore

Recd file

Note for Orders

To the Engineer for Mysore
Gr. 307-80 9/11/1903

(2)

Read:-

Memo No 6090 dated the 9th November 1903 from the Chief Engineer of Mysore transmitting for orders, letter No 223 dated the 30th October 1903 from the President of the Municipal Commissioner, Mysore City reporting that out of the total cost of Rs 6,484-0-10, ~~being the expenditure~~ incurred in improving the frontages of the Pleasure Palace Road in Mysore, Rs 3536-5-3 (assuming Rs 3529 11-0) was recovered ~~and may be ordered to be adjusted~~, and that Rs 3149-7-7 due by Kanayya and Alagappa, Chetty may be ordered to be written off the accounts as the amount is irrecoverable and it being that (the balance) Rs 2,959-1-9 remaining to be adjusted cannot be recovered readily as

Mr 96-01
SL 18

including the amount received from the Palace
2829-14-0
706-7-3
3536-5-3

: Mr Thevarengada Moodeliar built the frontage his property at his cost. who executed no muchilika ents details for the sum Rs 8-12-3 standing against his name

the persons from whom this amount is due have executed Muteahalikas agreeing to pay what would become due at the time of disposing of their respective properties, which they do not intend selling at present, that it is to be regretted that such indefinite and unpracticable Muteahalikas were obtained; and that the Vice-President reports that these Muteahalikas were taken in accordance with the terms enunciated by the late Superintending Engineer, Sanitary Works.

— ——

Order thereon. No 3077—82
L 7/121

D 19/2/04

The President reports that the cost of frontages reformed to ~~advanced~~ amounted to Rs 6,11,814-0-10, whereas amount ~~this~~ as reported

Encl one file of V. Records
+ a copy of letter no 223 7/11/03 from P Mayne Esq to Chairman

by the Comptroller and adopted in G P No 1118-21 / L.R. 42-01 dated the 7th September 1903 was Rs 6,468-2-6. This discrepancy should be rectified.

2 The Comptroller is authorized to adjust the sum of Rs 3536-5-3, including Rs 706-7-3 said to have been recovered from the Palace and held in deposit.

3 The writing off of Rs 3491-7 recommended by the President is sanctioned as the parties are reported to have suffered reverses from plague.

4 The President, and the Chief Engineer are requested to obtain and furnish the explanations of Mr K Sreenivasa Rao and Mr Standish Lee respectively, as to why they took the Mutchulka on such dubious terms and why they should not be

* *
details called for by Tirumengadam Modaliar should be furnished and the amount due by him viz Rs 8-12-3 noted & adjusted early.

As regards the balance Rs 2,589-13-9 which is said to be irrecoverable at present according to the terms of the Mutchulkas executed by the owners

be held personally responsible for the amount.

5. The amounts due by those who have no means to pay, and have suffered reverses from Plague may be excused. A detailed Statement showing the names of such persons and the amounts payable by them should be submitted stating the circumstances under which each item is proposed to be remitted.

6 The details called for by Mr Vinniyega Mudliar should be furnished and the amount due by him viz Rs 8-12-3 collected and adjusted early.

2nd order & Steward's order

14/2/04

Sd/ W. Secretary

Sd/ 12/2/04

to 1/c. The Presdt, Municl Comr, Mysore City
to returning enclosure,
Mr. Coupti in Mysore.
The Chief Engr of Mysore (with copy of the President's letter No 2238 of 30th Octr 1903.
The Exn-of-Rs & Accts of Mysore

Mysore Public Works Department

Central Office

No. 219/9/2 files sent separately

Dated Bangalore 9th April 1904

From,

W.Mc Hutchin Esquire, M.I.C.E.

Chief Engineer of Mysore -

To,

L.Ananthasawmy Rao Esquire, B.A.

Offg Secretary to Government of H.H.the Maharajah

of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departments -

Sir,

With reference to para 5 of Government Proceedings No. 3077-80/L.R.42-01 of 19th February 1904, I have the honor to for-ward herewith the explanation of Mr.Standish Lee late Superintending Engineer, called for therein.

2. This office file and Sanitary Superintending Engineer's office No.328 relating to the construction of frontages in the Pleasure Palace Road at Mysore are also forwarded for perusal and obliging return when no longer required.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

W. Hutchin

Captain R.E.

Deputy Chief Engineer of Mysore

From

MYSORE GOVERNMENT 13 APR 1904 LIBRARY

The Chief Engineer of Mysore

To

The Secretary to Government

General and Revenue Departments

Dated Bangalore 9-4-1904

Despatched

Received 21-91

General No.

No.

Enclosure No. Enclosed in a Packet-Secretariat

Forwarding explanation of Mr. Chandisl Lee, late [illegible] Engineer, on the construction of frontage in the Pleasure Palace road at Mysore.

Note cont'd for orders. HGS 21-5-

Encl to P. [illegible] 10

From

Mr Standish Lee
Superintending Engineer
Sanitary Circle (Retired)

To

W. C. The Hutchin Esq
Chief Engineer of Mysore P. W. D

Sir,

Cy-H Referring to your N° 1330. dated 24th Feb. / 04, calling upon me for the explanation required in para 5 of Govt. Pro: N° 3077-86 / L.T. 4201 dated 19th Feb. / 04, about the terms on which mutchalikas were obtained for the recovery of the cost of frontages built in Pleasure Palace Road, Mysore, I have the honor to bring to your notice that the specific terms of these mutchalikas were explained in para 2 of my office N° 956 / 203 dated 6/8th May 1902 to the Chief Engineer, and on receipt of sanction conveyed in P. W. D. Secretary's N° 478 / 2668 dated 10th March / 02, the work was carried out, as near as subsequent circumstances permitted, in accordance thereto, as detailed below.

Sh/ C

Sh/ D

II In para 2 of my N° 956 / 203 dated

6/8th May /02, I reported.

"Frontages:- There are in all twenty one frontages. The out lay on two of them, + Nos 9 and 11, amounting to Rs 8 will be recovered in full at once"

There are:-

No 6. Thirumangada Moodi, who is prepared to pay as soon as details are supplied from the C. Registers - - - - - -Rs 8-12-3.

No 11 Hill View Bungalow.

Already recovered and credited to Government - - - - - -Rs 706-7-3

Quoting again from para 2 of my letter

"Of the remaining Nos 4, 8 and 18 are owned by very poor people and are unable to pay the arrears owing to the heavy [illegible] on the frontages. We propose to remit the whole of these three items and [illegible]

There are:-

No 4 Alagapachary and Ramyapa Chary - - - - -Rs 85-10-4

No 8 Ramaswami Iyer - - -Rs 312-3-10

No 18 Kasiah's House - - -Rs 263-7-3

Nos [illegible] no recoveries can be made viz:-

No 10 Ganasa Temple - - - -Rs 165-12-6

No 19 Kasiah's Temple - - -Rs 170-1-3

Nos 9 refer to the report ing my letter and identical with the Nos statement submitted by Engineer W.C. to the gineer with his No 2503 22nd June /08.

"N° 21 is a wall for Coopsamnaiji's Garden the property of the Palace, which the Controller in his N° 378 dated 16th April 12 says - It rightly forms part of the City Improvements"

The amount sanctioned for this work was Rs 14 4 2 and the expenditure Rs 1313-10-4

III The owners of five frontages viz: Nos 2, 5, 7, 12 and 13. refused to pay for their improvements and, therefore, they were not carried out as originally proposed. It was, however, necessary to color wash them so as not to render the other improvements ineffective and an expenditure of Rs 77-7-4, as detailed below, was incurred and met from savings on N° 21, which was a work of Public improvement not subject to recovery.

N°2 Ramachary - - - Rs Nil
N° 5 Dasaraj Naidu - - Rs 18-0-1
N°7 Narayanaswamy Mudr - Rs 20-5-9
N°12 Manicka Chary - - Rs 28-14-6
N°13 do do - - Rs 10-3-4

IV The owners of two frontages viz:-
N°3 Arunga Chary - - - Rs 98-5-4
N°17 Govinda Rao (Chikka Krishnamarn) - - - Rs 139-6-2

have executed agreements to pay after deducting the cost of the ornamental work. This will probably amount to a deduction of 30% on the cost or about Rs 40/-.

V N°9 Subba Rao - - - - Rs 198 - 12 - 7
Has executed a mutchalika making the cost of the frontage a first ~~cost~~ charge on his house and grounds, and binding himself and his heirs responsible to refund the full amount in four yearly instalments.

VI The under mentioned two owners have executed agreements to the effect that they will first pay Government the cost of the improvements, after a deduction for ornaments, before selling their properties.

N° 15 Ramakrishna Iyer - Rs 114 - 4 - 8
N° 20 Manika Chary - - Rs 21 - 1 - 0

VII The owner of N°16. Senapathi Mudr a Government servant, consented to refund the cost in four years, but died suddenly of plague before executing the mutchalika. His brother Doraswamy Mudr of Bellary was prepared to execute the deed, but the Vice President wanted his claim as heir to his brother's estate to be recognised by a Court of Law vide V. P. N°944 dated 3rd June/02) and before this was effected he also died and the work was stopped after an expenditure of Rs 299 - 2 - 3 out of the sanctioned amount of Rs 407. The work done is the property of Government and the value can be recovered when it comes to be used as a part of the house

F III There now remain two frontages viz
No 1 Channudi Achary – Rs 418 - 13 - 6
No 14 do do – – Rs 999 - 1 - 10

These sites were acquired by the Deputy Commissioner under Pro. of Govt: No 19174-57/2418-01 dated 11/18th June 1902. In Chief Engineer's No 4869 dated 29-8-02, sanction was accorded to sell plot No 1 to one Alagapachary for full cost and in Chief Engineer's No 3751 dated 2nd July 1902 sanction was obtained to sell to the Municipality a strip of land measuring 12' x 86.8" from plot No 14 and the remaining portion to one ~~Naga~~ Nanjappa Swamy. The Deputy Commissioner was accordingly requested to execute deeds of sale in the names of the above parties but the matter was deferred owing to the circumstances brought to notice in paras II and III of Sanitary Engineer's No 460 dated 25-8-02 and which the Chief Engineer left to the decision of the Deputy Commissioner in para 2 of his No 4869 dated 29-8-02. The parties named are still prepared to to pay the full cost and take over the sites with the improvements.

Slip E

Slip F

Slip G

drafts of these deeds records of the Supdtg. Sanitary Works Office

Slip H

IX All the Govt. Pros: and letters alluded to above were duly communicated to the Municipality in my letters No 908/482 dated 1-5-02, to Vice President, and in my No 468 dated 26-8-02 to Deputy Commissioner, Mysore, but it is evident that these

records were not before the Deputy Commissioner when he wrote his N° 223 dated 30th October 1903 to the Secretary to the Govt. Straits P.W.D. as there was no necessity in reporting in his para 3 that certain sums should be written off and in para 4 that others" have undertaken to pay the amount at the time of selling their properties". as the sanction of Government in P. W. D. Secretary's N° 478/2668 dated 10-5-02 had already been obtained to those arrangements.

X Referring to the concluding remarks of the Deputy Commissioner in para 4 of his letter above alluded to, to the effect "It is to be regretted that such indefinite and impracticable restrictions were taken from the parties concerned. The Vice President reports that the restrictions were taken in accordance with the terms enunciated by the Sanitary Superintending Engineer" I beg therefore to quote below the "terms enunciated" by one and leave you to judge whether the conditions are "indefinite and impracticable" or at variance with those sanctioned in P.W.D. Secretary's N° 478/2668 dated 10-5-02.

Extract from Superintending Sanitary Works Camp N° 2 dated 3-4-02 to the Vice President.

Clause (x) ... for the ... to be ... will be the ...

within 4 weeks: or,
Clause (b):- For the work to be executed by Government and amount recovered by instalments as may be decided in each case according to the position of the owner: or,
"Clause (c) - Owing to the insolvency of the owner or other causes, the work to be carried out by the Government with the full consent of the owner and remain the property of Government until such time as the owner wishes to add the same as a part of his house. In the meanwhile, however, he is to have free [illegible] to every part of it and to make any use of it without closing any of the openings or working on any portion thereof."

In addition to the above the following general clause was added by which the responsibility for due provision, as required by law, being made in the municipalities for the recovery of the sums advanced rested with the Vice President.

"Any other condition to secure the rights of Government and the responsibility of the cost as may appear likely [illegible] by the Vice President."

XI Under the above circumstances, if the municipalities are drawn up in "dubious forms" the responsibility does not rest with one.

XII Referring to Revenue and General

Secretary's No 18466/2.7.215.01 dated 7-8-04 stating that no instructions seems to have been given to the President of the City Municipality by the Sanitary Suptdg. Engineer I beg to state that I had no orders on the point, but as stated in para IX ante, I sent copies of all correspondence and orders referring to these frontages to the Municipality.

XIII The Deputy Commissioner in his No 1410 dated 28th Jan/04 to the General and Revenue Secretary para 4, reports "I beg to state that excepting Subba Rao, all others have executed mutchalikas + + + Subba Rao's thereabouts are not ascertainable". There is some error in this statement, since Subba Rao's agreement is on the file and is in very definite terms as stated in para V ante.

XIV I submit that from the above it will be seen that I am not responsible for the wording of the mutchalikas and that the full amount of advances can be recovered on the conditions reported by me in my No 95-6/203 dated 6/8th May/02 and sanctioned by P W D Secretary in his No 478/2668 dated 10th May/02

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

Bangalore
19th March/04

Suptdg. Engineer Sanitary Works
(Retired)

SECRETARIAT OFFICE
Record No 1637
19 MAR 2004

18

(57) (h)

No. 173

Ml 96-01
Ml 1906

THE CITY MUNICIPAL OFFICE,
MYSORE.

Dated 14th May 1904

From

M. Kantha Raja Urs Esquire BA

PRESIDENT, CITY MUNICIPAL COMMISSION,
MYSORE.

To

L. Anantharamaiya Rao Esquire, BA

SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
REV. & GLN. DEPTS.

Sir,

With reference to para 5 of Government proceedings No 3077-80 dated the 19th February 1904, requesting to obtain the explanation of Mr K Sreenivasa Rao Vice-President, in regard to the encroachment committed in the Chamarajapalace road, I have the honour to enclose Mr Sreenivasa Rao's explanation, which seems to be reasonable and satisfactory.

I have the honour to be
Sir
your most obedient servant

M.
President

19

Explanation of the Vice-President as called for in para 5 of Government proceedings No 3077-80 dated 19th February 1904.
No 2742-01

I had nothing to do with the form in which the mutchalikas were taken.

As required in para C of docket Camp No 2 dated 3rd April 1902 from the Superintending Engineer Sanitary works, Mutchalikas were taken from the parties Concerned. The wording of the mutchalikas was approved by Mr Lee as evidenced by the remark "approved" made by him on the mutchalikas

(Sd) K Srinivasa Rao
Vice-President.

"Copy"

In
Presidency

III Aud 1905 H.V.K

Page cont'd for orders
H.G.S.
21-5-04

Dy 20/5/04

From



maj. Sons

PRESIDENT, CITY MUNICIPAL,
COMMISSION,
MYSOR

To

L. Anantharama Rau.

SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE.
REV. & GEN. DEPTS.

Dated 14th
Despatched } 19th May 1904
Received

General No. 173
No.

Enclosure No.

Submitting copy of the explanation of Mr K Srinivasa Rau Vice Pres dent, in regard to the Muchalikas obtained in connection with the fronting in Pleasure Palace road.

MGCPB—2,000—6-7-02.

D4190

No. 113 ~~109~~.

THE CITY MUNICIPAL OFFICE,
MYSORE.

Dated 24.. December 1904.

From

M.. Kantaraja Urs. Esqr
B A
PRESIDENT, CITY MUNICIPAL COMMISSION,
MYSORE.

To

B. K. Venkatavaradha Iyengar Esq
SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
REV. & GLN. DEPTS.

Sir,

In Continuation of this office no 173 of the 14th May 1904, I have the honor to submit herewith the further explanatory memo submitted by Mr K. Srinivasa Rao, former Vice President, regarding the recovery of the balance of Rs 25-69-13 q being the cost of Constructing frontages on the Palace [illegible]

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servt

Memorandum

With reference to Govt Proceedings

No 3077-80 dated 19 February
L-7 42-01
1904 in regard to the amount
of Rs 8.12.3 to be recovered
from Mr Tirumegada Mudal
towards the cost of the frontage
to his house on the pleasure
Palace road, I have the honr
to state that this amount
represents the cost of calcareous
wash, which Mr Tirumegada
Mudaliar deems to have no
others similarly situated have
not been charged this cost. The
Calcarious wash has already
faded away and Mr Tirumegada
Mudaliars contention to refuse
to pay for this however appears
to be reasonable.

ornamentation which comes up Rs 31-2-4
to Rs 69-7-0.

The amount due from Rs 10 15 in the bill prepared in Dec
Ramakrishniah is Rs 114-4-8.
He has agreed to keep for the
wall at the current prevailing
rates which amounts to Rs 44-0-11

The amount due from
Ramaswamy Iyer is Rs 312-3-10 Vide do
Viz the Lessees to keep up the
frontage wall has got out of
plumb and is likely to come
down.

With regard to the remaining Rs 7,120-5-5
balance, the Sanitary Superintending Rs 68-11-5
Engineer explains as having been
under the orders of the Chief Engineer
debited to Sewings under other heads
of Estimates and has not therefore
to be recovered.

K Srinivasachar
Vice-President

Dy 10-11-04
21

G.R.S. 28] 29/3 B

NC 76-01

File No.

Serial No. 6

Diary No. of letter replied to 4190

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
(Municipal)

the 190

Date of issue 28-3-05

Signed by Mr. H Ramaiya

READ.— Letter No 2191 & the 9th April 1904 from the Chief Engineer of Mysore transmitting with reference to para 5 of G. O. No 3077-80 dt 4207 & the 19th February 1904 calling for the explanations of Mr K Srinivasa Rao and Mr Standish Lee as to why they took certain Mulcharikas in deviations from the sanctioned plans in connection with which Rs 2489 13 0 out of the expenditure incurred on the improvement of the Sion Tank

ORDER THEREON.—No

5506
Recd
22 III 05

Issue
& 24.3.1905

SUBJECT

Orders that the President should take steps to adjust the amount of Rs 1117-15-3 due by Channaveeracharya, that Rs 1376 7 due by Mr Chubbe Rao be adjusted when his property is acquired by the Improvement Board, that Rs 656-13 be written off the accounts, & that the balance of Rs 307-15 due by four individuals should be recovered & adjusted & that Mr K Srinivasa Rao should obtain new mulcharikas in clear terms from these persons ~~demanding~~ for the payment of the above sums of Rs 307-15 due by them.

No
of buildings in the Mysore
Palace Road ~~and~~ in Mysore
could not be removed at
~~present~~ a reasonable time
the explanation of Mr Stanish
Lee stating that the Vice-
President of the Mysore City
Municipal Council is responsible
for ~~having taken~~ the terms
of the Muntahalikas.
2. Letter No 173 of the 14th
May 1904 from the Presi-
dent of the Mysore City Municipal
Commission, transmitting
the explanation of Mr
K. Srinivasa Rao stating
that the Muntahalikas in
question were taken as
required by the Superin-
of Survey Works (Mr Lee) and
that he had nothing to
do with the terms in
which they ~~Muntahalikas~~
were taken.

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation Sheet draft form.)

3 Letter No 1138, the 24 December 1904 from the President of the Mysore City Municipal Council submitting the further explanation of Mr K. Srinivasa Rao stating that out of Rs 2598 10 -, including Rs 8 12 3 due by Mr Sheru Venugadam Mudliar, Rs 1865-4-6 ~~could~~ can be recovered, Rs 655-13-11 have to be written off and Rs 77-7 8 have already adjusted as shewn hereunder.

Serial Number	Names of persons	Amount due from each	Vice-President's explanation		
			amount that could be recovered & adjusted	Amount to be written off	Amount already adjusted
1	Channaiah Achary	418 13 5	418 13 5	. . .	. . .
2	Do	999 1 10	999 1 10	. . .	. . .
3	Mr Chikka Rao	139 6 2	139 6 2	. . .	. . .
4	Subba Rao	198 12 7	194 7 1	4 5 6	. . .
5	Annigachary	79 8 4	69 7 .	31 2 4	. .
6	Mani Kachary	21 1 .			
7	Rama Krishnaiya	114 4 8	44 . 10	70 3 9	. . .
8	Mr Thiruvengada Mudliar	8 12 3	. . .	8 12 3	. . .
9	Sampathy Mudliar	22 2 3	. . .	22 2 3	. . .
10	Ramaswamy Iyer	212 3 10	. . .	212 3 10	. . .
11	Devaraj Maistry	18 . 9	. . .	. . .	18 . 9
12	Narasimhaswamy Mudliar	20 5 9	. . .	. . .	20 5 9
13	Mani Kachary (House)	28 14 6	. . .	. . .	28 14 6
14	Do (Workshop)	10 3 10	. . .	. . .	10 3 10
	Total	2596 10 .	1865 4 6	655 13 11	77 7 8

Order thereon No. 8939-43 dt 28-12-43
Mad (42-01)-

The explanation of Mr. K. Siccuvasa Rao stating that he is not responsible for the loss in which the Mudaliar inquiries proceeded is very unsatisfactory. As a Revenue Officer he was bound to guard the interests of Government and see that the Mudaliars taken by him were in clear terms.

2. As regards Rs 1417 15 3 due from Chairman Secretary, the Vice-President Mr Sreenivasa Rao states that the amount can be adjusted out of the commission payable for his property that was received by Govt. The President is requested to take steps for the speedy adjustment of the amount.

3. The sum of Rs 139-6-2 due to Mr Chukka Rao may be withheld till adjusted when his property is received by the ~~Govt of Madras Dept of Excise~~ Irish Brand

4. Deducting the above items

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation Sheet draft form)

Subba Rao 191-7-1

Arumugachary } 67-7-0
Maniachary }

Ramakrishnayya 44-11-

307-15-0

1 Subba Rao 4-5-6 (excess over the amount mentioned in the Muchalika)

13. Arumugachary } 31-2-4 (Cost of ornamental work.)
Muniachary }

Ramakrishnayya 10-3-9 (Excess over the cost at the current prevailing rate for the work)

Mr Thiruvengada Mudaliar 8-12-3 (Cost of tar Carriage Work)

Seenappatty Mudaliar 229-2-3 (Deed of Release)

7. Ramaswamy Iyer 3/12 3 10 (Unable to pay the cost of the work)

155-13-11

Hence the balance recoverable is Rs 307 15 0 and it is due from the persons detailed in the margin It should be reported how many instalments were recovered from Subba Rao who agreed to pay the amount in 4 yearly instalments As the terms of the Muchalikas executed by the remaining three persons are very vague, Mr K. Ramaswamy Rao should arrange to obtain fresh Muchalikas from them on clear terms, as otherwise Government will be compelled to call upon him to make good the amounts outstanding against them.

5 The marginally noted irrecoverable items amounting to Rs 65-13-11 may be written off the accounts, but as regards the last item of Rs 312-7-10 Govt are constrained to remark that the work was so badly done that the wall has got out of plumb The Chief Engineer will enquire into this matter.

6 As regards the balance of Rs 17-7-8 more

20

as the amount which was expended in colour washing the premises of those properties the owners of which refused to pay for the improvements, is reported to have been withdrawn from Savings in the estimate for "Putting up a wall for Kuppuraj's Garden" which was a work of Public Improvement.

180
G. 3/1905

Secretary

To

The President & Members of the Improvement Trust Committee

The Dy Comr of the Improvement Trust for communication to Mr K. Seetharama Rao

The Comptroller in Mysore

The Chief Engineer of Mysore

The Chairman of the Board of Trustees for the Improvement of the City of Mysore

Typed by a.n. 27/3
Compd HK 27/3